

To: Lisa 1.75 Meeting Attendees
From: Paul Baker
Date: May 26, 1983
Subject: Minutes of 5/5/83 meeting
cc: W. Rosing

The following issues were discussed at the Lisa 1.75 meeting on May 5, 1983:

- 1 - Next Meeting would be 5/26, same time same place.
- 2 - The ERS was discussed, clarification on the lack of battery backup was suggested, it will be added in the third draft. More discussion of the ERS follows below.
- 3 - The operating assumption for the design is that the current apps and high level OS parts will work on both machines without changes.
- 4 - A working group to discuss the Widget/1.75 interface was formed, minutes of the first meeting are attached.
- 5 - The location of the serial number is still an open issue.
- 6 - The comment was made that due to the fact that the 68K is doing the motor stepping, it is important to service the Timer 1 interrupt promptly.
- 7 - A question was raised regarding parity checking on DMA. In addition some questions were asked about the use of the two new expansion slots. The new ERS addresses these issues.
- 8 - Questions were asked about the COPS/NMI/Reset ideas. The new ERS addresses this issue.
- 9 - The twiggy use light is still an issue.
- 10 - Issues of compatibility between Lisa 1 and Lisa 1.75 I/O expansion cards were raised. Engineering committed to write an application note so designers could design cards that will work in both systems.
- 11 - Service indicated that they would like mockups to evaluate servicability aspects of the system and that they would like to be involved in production philosophy discussions. Service indicated that they also need a PIP so they can schedule their activities. They indicated that they would need schematics by 7/28, 2 systems with socketed components by 10/28 and 3 final systems by 11/28.
- 12 - A remaining open issue is the relationship between Lisa 1.75 and the file server.

To: Ken Okin

From: Paul Baker

Date: May 6, 1983

Subject: First Widget Meeting minutes

cc: W. Dirks, R. Mohme G. Marten, B. Lee, W. Henry, D. Offen, M. Urqhart, C. Twyman

The first Widget/Lisa 1.75 meeting was held May 5 and the following issues were raised:

1 - Current status is: 30 drives on test using 16 sector controller, about 70 drives total have been built, build rate is now about 50/month, going to 100/month soon, 500/month in August and 3000/month (maybe) in October. The nineteen sector controller is working now, still waiting for ECC gate array, still waiting for second pass main gate array. We can expect a working drive/controller with firmware on 7/1.

2 - The drive was designed with performance in mind, average access is 55 ms vs 180 ms on the Seagate, track to track is 7 ms vs 20ms. Latency is higher at 19 ms max vs 16 ms. The data rate is 5 MHz. The 20 MB widget will have higher latency of about 25 ms max and a slightly higher data rate of about 6.5 MHz. The 40 MB widget will have still higher latency and a higher data rate in the 7-8 MHz range. These futures issues are important if we are to accomodate the new drives in the Lisa 1.75. Are we? (open issue)

3 - The widget firmware has about 600-750 uS of overhead on a one sector transfer. The goal is to get this to 500 uS. On a multi sector transfer the overhead will be less per sector, about 400 uS. Lisa 1.75 should be able to maintain a 1 Mbyte/sec transfer rate so about 500 uS will be used to transfer data. Based on this we have decided tentatively to use the Profile+ controller circuit as is in the Lisa 1.75. The OS mostly issues multi sector disk commands so the reduced overhead should make it possible to achieve a 2:1 interleavé. Using the same controller in both Lisa and PCS should reduce the design time. The format of the multi sector commands is that a single command will cause multiple sectors to be transferred with an interrupt on each sector and status on each sector. An error in a multi sector transfer will abort the transfer. If Lisa is unable to keep up with 2:1 interleave we will use 3:1. Both PCS and Lisa drives will be formatted the same, PCS will have to live with whatever we can accomodate. Wolfgang says they think 5:1 is great.

4 - The controller firmware has two layers, one at the high level that only performs read, write and write verify, and a low level that performs all the operations that are required to perform the high level commands. Both levels are available to the user of the drive, so diagnostics can use the low level commands, but if the user uses the low level commands he is responsible for maintaining consistency on the media! The controller also supports ECC but the correction is done in firmware so it is slow. The idea is that the ECC will be used in conjunction with the track sparing so a block that is damaged should be spared without data loss. The ECC can handle burst errors of up to 11 bits.

5 - A fair sized issue relating to the operation of the Profile+ with Lisa 1 came up. The issue is that since the interleave is different and since there are 19 sectors per track it will be difficult to do the sector mapping as we do on the Profile, we may have lower performance on the Profile+. Dave Offen will

have to determine the ramifications of this. (open issue)

6 - There was some discussion about cooling, air moving and mounting the controller card on the disk. This is all left open until POS can staff up a product designer for Lisa 1.75. (open issue)

The next meeting will be May 26 ant 3:30.

To: Paul Baker

05/23/83

From: G. Cossey

Subject: Additional notes on Lisa 1,75 ERS

The current SCC chip self test actually lets data thru the chips output ports. We need an additional buffer on the output which can be disabled during this test.

To diagnose MMU problems, what would be ideal is if there were a way to latch an address that was decoded by the MMU from a logical to a physical address. By knowing the physical address that the MMU has converted a much better diagnostic would be possible. This would require a method of telling when to latch the address and a way to read the latched address.



