Palo Alto Research Center

A Tour Through Tapestry

Douglas B. Terry



A Tour Through Tapestry

Douglas B. Terry

CSL-93-13 August 1993 [P93-00023]

© Copyright 1993 Xerox Corporation. All rights reserved.

Abstract: The Information Tapestry is an experimental system that employs both collaborative filtering and content-based filtering, as well as automatic appraising and highlighting, to tailor the delivery and presentation of information to each user's personal interests. This permits users to cope with large volumes of incoming documents, including electronic mail, news wire stories and NetNews articles. This paper presents the facilities available to Tapestry users by way of a scenario depicting a day in the life of an active user.

To appear in *Proceedings 1993 ACM Conference on Organizational Computing Systems* (COOCS), Milpitas, California, November 1-4, 1993.

CR Categories and Subject Descriptors: H.2.8 [Database Management]: Database Applications; H.3.4 [Information Storage and Retrieval]: Systems and Software - *Current awareness systems (selective dissemination of information-SDI)*; H.4.3 [Information Systems Applications]: Communications Applications - *Electronic mail*.

Additional Keywords and Phrases: information filtering, personalized information delivery, collaborative filtering, appraisers, highlighting, electronic mail reader, text browser, message database

XEROX

Xerox Corporation Palo Alto Research Center 3333 Coyote Hill Road Palo Alto, California 94304

1.0 Introduction

The Information Age, thus far, has resulted in people feeling overloaded with the flood of available information while at the same time feeling insufficiently informed. The Information Tapestry, built at the Xerox Palo Alto Research Center, was designed to solve both of these problems. The system meets its goals by processing information in two steps. First, it filters a large continuous stream of electronic documents into a smaller stream of documents satisfying a user's personal interests. Second, the Tapestry system organizes and prioritizes selected documents such that the user can quickly deal with the high-priority items and read the low-priority, or less interesting, documents as time permits. This paper presents the facilities available to Tapestry users by way of a scenario depicting a day in the life of an active user, namely me.

The next section starts with a description of the information, specifically the electronic mail messages, that awaited me one morning (March 22, 1993). It describes why I got these messages as well as the steps taken to read and process them, including adding new filters to select other interesting messages, saving messages for later reference, and running ad-hoc queries over the Tapestry information database to find related articles. Section 3.0 discusses the status of the Tapestry system. Section 4.0 concludes by summarizing the novel functions supported in the Information Tapestry and relating some experience with the system to date.

2.0 One Morning's Activity

When I arrive at my office in the morning, I am presented with a bounty of new information that has been selected by the Tapestry system. The system has been working through the night searching for interesting articles. I use a single tool for reading this information: an electronic mail reader called "WallTapestry."

Figure 1. shows what the "Active" message folder of my mail reader looks like this morning. It contains over 80 documents (or messages) that have been sent to me for one of three reasons. First, I receive messages sent to me personally by friends and colleagues. Second, I receive messages that have been sent to some distribution list to which I belong. Distribution lists are used heavily within the Xerox Corporation for delivering messages to members of organizations, such as the Computer Science Laboratory with Xerox PARC, or to employees with similar interests, such as those interested in distributed systems. The third reason that I receive messages is because the Tapestry system mails documents to me.

The Tapestry clipping service maintains a database containing articles from the NetNews bulletin board system, the UPI news wire, and other sorts of discussion groups and news feeds. Each day, new articles are added to the database (and old articles deleted). Each Tapestry user has a user profile describing the user's personal interests as a set of queries or *filters* over the information database. Articles that match a user's filter profile are mailed to the user as electronic mail messages. The mail waiting for me this particular morning, as with most mornings, includes 40-50 messages selected by the Tapestry clipping service.

The messages shown in Figure 1. are presented as the one-line summaries common in most mail reading programs. Each message summary includes the message's date, sender, and subject. Each

	Active Messages								
Mot	7еТо	Display	Delete	AddTo	NewMail	Places	Levels	MsgOps	SortBy
	99>	18 Mar	93 Mai	ia←Eblin	Re: ma	rked-up	thesis p	roposal	
	99>	18 Mar	93 elib	@eclipse	Elib Re	esponse	_	_	
	99>	19 Mar	93 Dan	Swineh	Here's	a topic f	or a futu	ire meetin	g
	94>	18 Mar	93 To:	elib%cs.	Test of	f Stanfor	d SDI Se	rvice	
	85>	13 Mar	93 tape	estry@pa	ICDCS'	13 progra	am		
	70>	12 Mar	93 wei	ser:PAR	. here i	5 a new	service b	oeing offe	red by
					the stanfo	rd CS lit	orary	-	
1112	99>	22 Mar	93 wei	ser:PAR	. hiring	meeting	g after de	saler	
112	99>	22 Mar	93 Bel.	otti@eui	Re: Ub	iCore me	eting on	Security	
1117 -	99>	22 Mar	93 cha	user:PA	. Reque	st for mo	ore help .	in tapbrov	vser
1117	90>	22 Mar	93 Nər	cy Frei	HIRINC	F MTG. I	SCHEDU	LED	
1117 -	90>	22 Mar	93 wei	ser:PAR	. Re: IM	IPORTA	NT AGA	IN: YES	
					hiring me	eting aft	er Dealei	r <u>.</u>	
1117 -	85>	22 Mar	93 sara	swat@pa	a [arjun	@cs.stan	ford.edu	: Two talk	s in
111				-	Concurren	cy Theo	ny]		
1117	85>	21 Mar	93 tape	stry@pa	Briefs	Californi	ia (Mar .	17 11 am F	ST)
1117 -	80>	22 Mar	93 Gar	y Eman	Equipn	ient Shij	pping cra	ates and b	oxes in
<i>11.</i>					warehouse				
111 - E	80>	22 Mar	93 Ano	irea Spi	. Fry's	Electron	ics Blank	tet Order	(PARC
11. L					$On\{y\}$	•· •	•		
	755	21 Mər	93 təpe	stry@pa	an ann an Ui	nLine B	DOKSTORE 4	Otters "El	ectronic
222					LIT" OVER I	nternet	03719793		

Figure 1. My Active folder showing old messages followed by prioritized new mail.

summary line optionally starts with a "?" to indicate the messages that have not yet been read (these are also in italic font). A unique aspect of the presentation in Figure 1. is the number in each message summary preceding ">". These are priorities that have been assigned to messages by my *appraisers*.

An *appraiser* is a predicate or query that is applied to each new message that I receive. Associated with each appraiser is a priority (and optionally other properties such as a category). If a message matches the appraiser's predicate then the message is assigned the associated priority. You'll note that the messages presented in Figure 1. are not ordered by date as in most mail readers. Rather, the previously read messages come first followed by the new messages. Within the group of old or new messages, the messages are sorted in order of their assigned priority.

The most important messages, the ones with the highest priorities, appear at the top of my mail list so I can read and deal with them first. I often do not get around to reading the lower priority messages until many days later, or sometimes not at all. To me, the highest priority messages are those that have been sent to me personally. One of my most valuable appraisers looks for messages with this characteristic and assigns them priority 99. This morning I have three messages in this category, including one from my boss, Mark Weiser. I read and respond to these immediately, and then either delete them (using the "Delete" button at the top of the window in Figure 1.) or move them to another folder for long-term storage (using the "MoveTo" button).

Now I find several messages with priorities in the range 80-90. One of these with priority 85 appears to be a message sent to me by the Tapestry server with the subject "Briefs California." I

-				MessageOps	
Mov	reTo 70>	Display 12 Mar	Delete Ac 93 weiser:1	A 1dTc Sender PAR UndoLastDelete Size Of MsgSet	gOps SortBy g offered by
? ?	90> 90>	22 Mar 22 Mar	93 Nancy 93 weiser:	FreiMsg Info ^{PAR} Append Msg	 YES
?	85>	22 Mar	93 sərəswə	nterpress3.0PrintMsgSet	o talks in
?	85> 80>	21 Mar 22 Mər	93 tapestr 93 Gary E	r@p Interpress3.0PrintTOC ²⁰³² R.O.T. MsgSet	1 am PST) and boxes in
?	80>	22 Mər	93 Andres	e <i>Spa</i> Explain Msg's Priority Reappraise Selected Msg(s)	Drder (PARC
?	75>	21 Mar	93 tapestry	7@pReappraise MsgSet <i>Lit over mæmer 03719793</i>	rs "Electronic

Figure 2. Requesting an explanation for a message's priority.

Annotations for message \$ XNS-SMTP-Gateway:Parc:Xerox appraiser terry\$text:Bakersfield => priority 85 appraiser terry\$Subject:Briefs+California => priority 55 appraiser terry\$sender:tapestry => priority 10

Figure 3. An explanation of priorities assigned to a message by various appraisers.

am curious as to why this particular message was assigned priority 85. So I select the message by left-clicking on its summary, and then I click the "MsgOps" button at the top of the window. This produces a pop-up menu from which I select the "Explain Msg's Priority" option (see Figure 2.). The resulting textual explanation is shown in Figure 3.

The message in question was actually matched by several appraisers. One detected that it was sent by Tapestry and gave it a low priority of 10. Another determined that this message was about "Bakersfield" and assigned it a priority of 85. A third appraiser gave it priority 55 because it is a news report, judging from the message's subject. My mail reader uses the highest priority assigned by any appraiser. One could imagine other functions, such as weighted voting, that combine the priorities assigned by various appraisers into a single number. Taking the maximum seems to work quite well in most cases.

I now decide to look at this message by middle-clicking on its one-line summary. This brings up the window shown in Figure 4. While the message has a very long header, only the most interesting (as decided by me) header fields are displayed. One of these header fields, the "X-Filters-Matched" field, was added by the Tapestry clipping service to indicate which of my filters running on the server selected this message. Each of my filters has a unique name so that I can identify them. In this case, the message was selected by my "HometownNews" filter. In other words, I should be interested in this message because it is a news article that mentions my home town (which is a fairly rare occurrence).

tapestry@parc.xerox:com:Xerox 21 Mar 93 Briefs Californ ... Forward ReSend MsgOps Split Places Levels Likelt HateIt xnsAnswer Sender: tapestry@parc.xerox:com:Xerox Subject: Briefs California (Mar 17 11 am PST) To: terry:PARC From: clarinews@clarinet:com:Xerox X-Filters-Matched: HometownNews Newsgroups: clari.local.california.briefs Date: Sun, 21 Mar 1993 15:48:11 PST -17-(LOS ANGELES)- Los Angeles police officer Susan Clemmer backed up the defense in the Rodney King beating case yesterday, saying one of the four officers who beat the black parolee told her he was scared during the incident. Clemmer also told jurors that King was ``laughing, spitting and blowing blood" as she rode with him in an ambulance. -17-(MISSION VIEJO)- Four people were arrested in two separate car chases through Southern California yesterday. One of the incidents ended when a man's battered truck broke down and the driver was dragged out in front

Figure 4. A message selected by my "HometownNews" filter.

The message displayed in Figure 4. is a moderately-long series of short news briefs taken from the UPI newswire and distributed via ClariNet. I know, based on the appraisers that matched this message, that one of the reports mentions my home town, Bakersfield, but I don't know which one. I could scroll through the whole message looking for the report of interest, or I could bring up a search tool and type in my home town's name. Both of these are fairly time-consuming alternatives. Instead, I click on the "MsgOps" menu at the top of the window displaying the message, which once again presents a pop-up menu of operations. I choose the "Highlight Msg's Priority" operation (see Figure 5.). This causes the word "Bakersfield" to be highlighted and the message to automatically scroll to the section containing this word (see Figure 6.; on a color display, the word's background color would be changed to yellow so that it appears as though the word was marked with a yellow highlighter, but in Figure 6. it is simply shown in reverse video). Well, it turns out that this news story, about Pistacio growers in Bakersfield, is not all that interesting.

Further down my list of new mail, I find a message with priority 75 discussing something called the "Online Bookstore" (displayed in Figure 7.). Although this message was sent to me by the Tapestry clipping service, it was not selected based on its contents or subject or any other header field of the message. Rather, it was selected by my "SomeLikeIt" filter, an example of *collabora-tive filtering* in the Tapestry system [3]. It is not uncommon for someone to read a message or article that they find interesting and want to recommend it to others. This particular message, the one shown in Figure 7., was recommended by my fellow Tapestry user, David Nichols. This is indicated by the message's "X-Annotation-likeit" header, which was added by the clipping service at the same time as the "X-Filters-Matched" header.

The Tapestry system permits and encourages users to provide feedback on the messages they read. This feedback is stored in Tapestry's information database and can be accessed in users' fil-

Ms	igOps	
vns Answer Se	nder	erox 21 Mar 93 Briefs Californ htts: Snlit Places Levels Likelt Hatelt
Sender: tan Ca	tegories	X
Subject: B Ad	dTo	1 am PST)
To: terry: Re	move From	
From: clauSiz	eOf	
Newsgrou	gID	e iefs
Date: Sur To	ggle Header Pruning	Т
Int	erpress2.0Print	
-17-	erpress3.0Print	nolice officer fuser Clemmer backed up
the Ma	akeEditable	ponce officer susan clemmer oacked up
defense ir <mark>E</mark> ×	plain Msg's Priority	g case yesterday, saying one of the
four offic Hig	hlight Msg's Priority	rolee told her he was scared during
the incide Hig	jhlight Keywords	prs that King was ``laughing, rode with him in an ambulance

Figure 5. Requesting that interesting portions of a message be highlighted.

	tapes	uy@parc.x	erox:com:	Xerox 21 1	Vlar 93	Briefs (Jalliforn	[Edite	:d]
XI	nsAnswer	Forward	Resend	MsgOps	Split	Places	Levels	Likelt	Hatelt
	-17- (BAKE aggressive the nut. T Paramoun quickly su report sky	(he Pistach The Pistach The Farms in The facing in	- Californ g campaig nio Produc Bakersfi n German sales last	nia pistach gn to expl cers of Ca and to pur y and oth fall mos	nio pro oit a ri lifornia sue exj er Eur	ducers a sing wo a have j port sale opean c the Paci	are worki rldwide oined wi s in new ountries. fic Rim.	ng on a demand th y market Produce	n for s ers
	-17- (SACR	AMENTO)- The Ca	lifornia A	vocad	o Commi	ission say	ys memt	oers last

Figure 6. "HometownNews" message with the word "Bakersfield" highlighted.

ter queries. For example, my "SomeLikeIt" filter query says "show me any articles posted to any newsgroup on any topic that have been recommended by anyone." As the number of Tapestry users increases over time, this filter is starting to select more and more articles. So, in the near future, I will likely replace this overly general filter with more specific filters like "show me articles recommend by David Nichols on the topic of information management" or "show me articles from the comp.os.research newsgroup that have been recommended." The important point is that I decide which articles I see by creating filters based on my particular interests and the people whose judgements I trust.

One of the goals of the Tapestry system was to make it easy for people to recommend articles. My mail reader provides "LikeIt" and "HateIt" buttons that I click to indicate messages that I find particularly interesting or particularly annoying. These are at the top right of each message display window (as in Figure 7. for instance). I probably recommend one or two messages per day on

	tap	estry@pai	C.Xeroxic	om:Xerox	21 Mar	93 ****	OnLine	Book	
Х	xnsAnswer	Forward	ReSend	MsgOps	Split	Places	Levels	LikeIt	HateIt
	Sender: tape	estry@par	.xerox:co	m:Xerox					
<u>"</u>	🕺 Subject: **	***OnLine	e Booksto	re Offers	"Electr	onic Lit'	" over In	ternet 0	3/19/93
11	🖉 To: terry:P	ARC							
11	🖇 From: new	rsbytes@cl	.arinet:co	m:Xerox					
11	🖉 X-Filters-I	Matched:	SomeLik	eIt					
11	🖉 X-Annotat	ion-likeit:	nichols						
11	🖉 Newsgroup	os: clari.nt	.trends,c	lari.nb.toj	p				
11	🖉 Date: Sun	i, 21 Mar	1993 07:5	0:S3 PST					
11					_				
11	🖇 BROOKLIN	VE, MASS	ACHUSE'	FTS, U.S.	A., 1993	MAR 1	.9 (NB) -	- A nei	17
11	service		_			_ :		_	
11	g called the	OnLine B	ookstore	(OBS) is	letting	literary-	minded	end	
11	🖉 users dowi	nload ficti	on and n	onfiction	, compl	ete with	, sound o	or	
12	scanned-ir	n photos, i	right off	the Inter	net.				

Figure 7. Message recommended by a fellow Tapestry user.

xn:	Cl sAnswer	itting@pai Forward	c.xerox:co ReSend	m:Xerox 2 MsgOps	2 Mar Split	93 [App Places	ole unve Levels	ils n LikeIt	HateIt
	ubject: [A To: iia:PA From: cut Date: Mc FYI	pple unve RC, tdb:PA ting@parc on, 22 Mar	eils new t ARC, gaia .xerox:com 1993 11:3	echology] :PARC h:Xerox 36:36 PST					
	From: clai Newsgrou Subject: A Date: Mor CUPEI	rinews@cla ps: clari.tw apple unve 1, 22 Mar 1 RTINO, Ca	arinet.com 7.compute eils new 1 93 10:35:5 lif. (UPI)	n (UPI) rs techology 2 PST Apple	Compu	iter Inc.	annound	ced	

Figure 8. Message forwarded by a colleague.

average. Many other Tapestry users read my recommendations. At least one user has a filter that says "show me any articles recommended by Doug Terry."

Continuing to read my mail I find a message with priority "60" that was sent by a colleague (see the message displayed in Figure 8.). This colleague read the message on a NetNews newsgroup and forwarded it to various PARC people by pasting it into a message that he addressed to a few local distribution lists. Forwarding the article, as was done is this case, is the traditional method for recommending things. However, this technique is less than optimal in that it requires a fair amount of work by the forwarder, particularly in deciding who to send the message to, and it forces the recipients to deal with the message, even if they find the message uninteresting. If the colleague were a Tapestry user, then he could have simply clicked "LikeIt". (Of course, the message may have been seen by a different audience depending on who uses Tapestry filters and what

Done. 7	Done. 7 messages Indexer active!									
Misc	Edit	Do It	Abort	Add filter.			Help	(k)		
				Type query I	pelow		×			
m.wor	m.words = "AppleSearch"									
Previous	s Next	Sav	e as	Mail to	Like It!	Hate It!	Annotatio	ons		
				7 messag	es					
Mar 19 Mar 19 Mar 22 Mar 22 Mar 22 Mar 22 Mar 22	Mar 19 199(<i>diessel@marthe.informatik.u</i> new: apple workgroup server 60, 80, 95 Mar 19 199(<i>zaphod@vax2.winona.msu</i> : re: new: apple workgroup server 60, 80, 95 Mar 22 199(<i>clannews@clannet.com (up</i> apple unveils new techology Mar 22 199(<i>clarinews@clarinet.com (up</i> apple unveils new techology Mar 22 199(<i>iim@jagubox.gsfc.nasa.gov</i> re: new: apple workgroup server 60, 80, 95 Mar 22 199(<i>newsbytes@clarinet.com</i> 3 new apple servers, new appleshare, apples									
				Message b	ody					
From: clarinews@clarinet.com (UPI) Newsgroups: clari.tw.computers,clari.biz.products,clari.local.sfbay,clari.local.california,clari.biz.top Subject: Apple unveils new techology Date: Mon, 22 Mar 93 10:22:15 PST CUPERTINO, Calif. (UPI) Apple Computer Inc. announced revolutionary new file-search technology for Macintosh computer workgroups Monday,										
along netwo Fair ir	with a n rking sof AppleSe i Hannov	ew line (tware. arch, wh /er, Gerr	of workg nich will nany, Th	roup server c be unveiled c iursday, was	omputers a officially at designed i	and improve the CeBIT to give Mac	ed Computer cintosh			

Figure 9. Using the Tapestry browser to find "AppleSearch" messages.

these filters select. Even with Tapestry, there are times when one might want to forward a message to ensure that it is received by a particular group of people.)

Reading the forwarded message, I discover that it discusses some new information retrieval technology from Apple Computer called "AppleSearch", something that could very well be relevant to my own work. So, in fact, I am grateful for the recommended message. Moreover, I decide to search for other messages in the Tapestry information database that mentions this technology. To do this, I start up the Tapestry browser (see Figure 9.). This tool only queries Tapestry's public information; a separate browser is available for searching my private mail database [4]. Into the top portion of the Tapestry browser I type in the query: m.words = "AppleSearch". This query, written in the Tapestry Query Language (TQL), looks for all articles in the database that contain the word "AppleSearch" anywhere in their body of text. When I click on the "Do It" button, 7



Figure 10. Dialog box for adding a filter from the Tapestry browser.

articles are retrieved and summarized in the middle portion of the browser (as shown in Figure 9.). Some of these articles, such as the one that was previously forwarded, are from the ClariNet news service, while a few others are part of ongoing discussions on the "comp.mac.hardware" newsgroup. Clicking on one of the summaries displays the article in the lower portion of the browser.

Note that the browser also provides "Like It!" and "Hate It!" buttons (in the middle-to-top right of Figure 9.). I click "Like It!" for the article that I had previously seen in order to recommend it to other Tapestry users. With collaborative filtering, I am motivated to recommend interesting articles so that others will recommend articles to me.

I decide that I would like to track articles about "AppleSearch" on a regular basis. Using the Tapestry browser has convinced me that the articles on this topic are informative and the number is not likely to be overwhelming. So, I click on the "Add filter..." button provided by the browser (at the top of Figure 9.) to install this query as a regular filter. This produces a dialog box into which I type a name for the filter and a short one-line description (see Figure 10.). I also have the option of changing the query. I click "Add", and the new filter is installed. From now on, any message selected by this query will automatically be sent to me.

I now return to reading the middle priority messages. Several messages have been assigned priority "50" as shown in Figure 11. These are actually messages that were not selected by any of my appraisers. Such messages are given a default priority of 50, a priority in the middle of the range 1-99. Some of my appraisers raise the priority of messages above the default while others lower the priority of selected messages below the default. When I first started using this new mail reader that supports appraisers, most of my mail had the default priority. Over time, I have gradually added appraisers to assign real priorities to the bulk of my messages. Messages that receive the default priority are typically ones sent to a rarely used distribution list to which I belong.

				Act	tive Messa;	ges			
Mo	oveTo	Display	Delete	AddTo	NewMail	Places	Levels	MsgOps	SortBy
\$\$\$? #	50>	22 Mar	93 rici	ngold@pa	Remin CSL Comm	der: Mil 10ns	ke Naima	rk, 2:30PM	l in
1112	50>	22 M ar	93 881	cympt@pi	а,,, дет т	ersion o	i zovi		
	50>	22 Mar	93 bot	t@pyrps	. location 22-26	n of tast	ings, we	ek of Mar	ch
	50>	22 Mar	93 put	z@parc.x	using toolkits	"xcb" f0.	r copy/p	aste betwe	en
	50> 50>	22 Mar 22 Mar	93 Kri 93 jace	stel Tho pbi:PARC.	. Re: NE Re: US	EED FU. ing ~xcl	RNITURE b" for cog	: gy/paste b	etween

Figure 11. Messages with default priority 50.

	Filter operations	
Sender NewMail CloseAll I You have new mail at March	Add Appraiser List Appraisers Show Appraiser	<u> Mišetš: Expunge</u>
Active Deleted Berkeley CACM CallsForP LoganBerry Mail Modula3 Sudnou Tanastuu UbiComp	Delete Appraiser Re-read Appraiser Database	DocMan SOSP Stanford
Sydney Tapesuy ColComp	Add appraiser where query is	based on current s
Msg: REVIEW: GROUNDHO Msg: REVIEW: RODAN, TH Msg: REVIEW: BABYLON & Msg: REVIEW: ARMY OF D	E FL: has been Deleted from: - R: has been Deleted from: - RR: has been Deleted from: - ARKN: has been Deleted from	Active Active Active m: Active
dalrympl@parc.xerox: Freeze xnsAnswer Forward	com:Xerox 22 Mar 93 new versi ReSend MsgOps Split Place	on of xdvi es Levels Likelt l
Sender: dalrympl@parc.xerox: Subject: new version of xdv <u>To: texusers</u> :PARC From: dalrympl@parc.xerox:c Date: Mon, 22 Mar 1993 09	com:Xerox i com:Xerox :02:37 PST	
I have installed a new versi	on of the dvi previewer xdvi,	version

Figure 12. Adding a new appraiser.

One of the "50" messages I received this morning, the one with the subject "new version of xdvi" in Figure 11., was sent to the "texusers" distribution list announcing a software upgrade. I have switched from using TeX to using a multimedia document editor, so I am no longer interested in TeX announcements. I should really remove myself from this list, but that requires remembering how. I vow to do this later. In the meanwhile, I'll simply add a new appraiser to give a really low priority to TeX messages. To do this, I select the header field of the displayed message that says "To: texusers", and then click on the "Appraisers" button in my mail reader's main control panel. This produces a pop-up menu from which I select "Add Appraiser" (see Figure 12.). This, in turn produces another pop-up menu of priorities. I choose priority "5". That should drop any future TeX messages to the very bottom of my message folder.

			Acuv	e Messages		
Mov	eTo	Display	Delete AddTo N	ewMail Places	Levels I	AsgOps SortBy
	85>	13 Mar 9	3 tapestry@pa	ICDCS'13 progr	am	
	70>	12 Mar 9	3 weiser:PAR	here is a new	service be	ing offered by
			th	e stanford CS li	brary	
?	42>	22 Mar S	93 skeavr@tap,,,	Unsubscribe		
?	422	22 Mar S	93 thomason@su,,,	TCP/IP for A	ТМ	
2	42>	22 Mar S	13 ssalden@ps	Unsubscribe		
?	42>	22 Mar 9	93 inte,,,	API to PTP		
?	42>	22 Mar S	93 dzreen <i>E</i> msza,,	, Unsubscribe		
?	40>	21 Mar S	93 KLENSIN <i>G</i> ia,	,, Re; Setting t	p headers	to deal with
	_		74	rious maiters		_
?	40>	21 Mar S	93 KLENSINGia,	,, Re; Setting 1	p headers	to deal with
			·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rious maiters		
?	38>	22 Mar S	3 Maicolm Be	Invitation to 2	Ist EWOS	Workshop

Figure 13. Deleting a block of messages without even reading them.

Although the "Add Appraiser" menu operation only permits me to create simple appraisers, those that do exact pattern matching on individual header fields, my mail reader supports more complicated appraisers. Appraisers may be any boolean function consisting of pattern-matching predicates connected by "AND", "OR", and "NOT." The set of built-in predicate functions include exact match, regular expressions, and soundex matching for user names, and can be extended by registering user-provided procedures that are called on demand. These predicates operate on particular header fields or on the textual body of a message. Appraisers can also assign arbitrary properties to messages, not just priorities, though a message's priority is the only property currently used by my mail reader. A shell command allows me to add these complex appraisers.

Now that I have read and deleted or saved my most interesting (or urgent) messages, I am left with the remaining lower priority messages. Several of these, the messages with priorities "41" and "40" were sent to the "TCP-IP" internet distribution list. This list has a high volume of traffic that is mostly of marginal interest. Today, I am feeling rushed so I simply select the whole group of messages as a block (this is easy to do since they occur contiguously in my Active message folder as illustrated in Figure 13.), and I click "Delete" to get rid of them without even reading them.

You might ask why I even remain on this "noisy" list. There are two answers to this question. First, a small portion of the messages are, in fact. on topics that interest me or are sent by people who usually have something interesting to say. I have appraisers that select these messages and give them higher priority. Therefore, I know that what is left in the 40-41 priority range are the "dregs." Since messages from this distribution list are added to Tapestry's database, I could remove myself altogether from the list and simply receive the most interesting articles via the clipping service. In this case, my appraisers would be replaced with equivalent filters.

The second reason that I remain on some distribution lists is that some days I have more free time than others. On these days I may decide to read some of the less interesting traffic just to see what's happening. The nice thing about having my mail automatically appraised and sorted by

priority is that I can decide on any given day "where to draw the line", that is, when to stop reading messages.

As I said before, today I am feeling rushed, as is too often the case, so I quickly dispose of my remaining low priority messages. Many of these I delete without reading them. Some that have interesting subjects I quickly glance at. Others that look interesting, but are not urgent, I squirrel away for another day.

3.0 Discussion and Status

The scenario just presented illustrates the various facilities available to Tapestry users. Although this scenario is specific to the new messages that I received on this particular day (included with the permission of the correspondents involved), it is not atypical of the actions I take while reading my mail in the morning. Thus, it also provides insights into how the Tapestry facilities are used in practice. A more scientific study of the overall Tapestry user community, such as that done for the Information Lens [5], remains to be undertaken.

Reading and processing 80 or more messages, as in this scenario, might take me between 30 and 60 minutes on a typical day. Before the existence of Tapestry's appraisers and highlighting capabilities, the time to handle this much mail was at least twice this long. Other Tapestry users have reported similar improvements in their mail reading productivity.

The Tapestry system consists of five main components: the database, clipping service, browser, shell, and mail reader. The Tapestry information database is stored in a relational database management system purchased from Sybase. Over 5000 new messages per day are added to this database, and an equal number of the oldest messages deleted. The current database, containing approximately three weeks worth of articles from NetNews, the UPI newswire, and other information feeds, occupies over a GByte of storage space. The Tapestry clipping service, implemented in the Modula-3 programming language, runs filter queries continuously over this changing database and mails the results to users. The Tapestry browser for running ad-hoc queries is also written in Modula-3. The Tapestry shell, which was not discussed in Section 2, provides commands for manipulating a user's set of filters, including commands for adding, deleting, modifying, and listing filters. Finally, there's the WallTapestry mail reader, which is written in Cedar and runs in the Cedar experimental programming environment. All of the figures in this paper are actual screen snapshots of either WallTapestry or the Tapestry browser in use.

The Tapestry clipping service and WallTapestry mail reader are separate tools that were built to explore different aspects of recipient-based message delivery [8]. As of March, the time of this writing, the Tapestry clipping service had been in use by its small group of designers and implementors for well over a year. In the past 6 months, the user community has gradually grown to over 30 people within PARC and other Xerox organizations. Because the clipping service distributes results via regular electronic mail, users are free to stick with their favorite mail reader, and most users have chosen to do so. Consequently, WallTapestry is used by only a handful of clipping service users. Similarly, many researchers at PARC use WallTapestry as their mail reader of choice, without using the clipping service. These people either switched to WallTapestry so they

could run appraisers over their flood of regular electronic mail, or else were familiar with the Walnut mail reader [4] from which WallTapestry evolved.

The intent of this paper is to demonstrate what it is like to be a user of both the Tapestry clipping service and WallTapestry mail reader and to show how these tools work together to provide a personalized information system. Thus, it focuses on the Tapestry functionality and interfaces, rather than on the algorithms and implementation techniques underlying these facilities. Additional details on the Tapestry architecture, query language (TQL), support for collaborative filtering, and the efficient execution of continuous queries can be found in previously published papers [3][9].

4.0 Conclusions

The Information Tapestry was designed and built to help users avoid information overload while keeping them abreast of information that is important to their daily work, technological development or even personal hobbies. The key to success is making the system aware of a user's individual interests and needs so that it can provide the right information in the right order to satisfy those needs. The Tapestry system is based on three key pieces of technology that serve to successively refine a stream of information:

Filtering selects articles based on per-user interest profiles. Information filtering has been an active area of study for some time and has been incorporated into several systems [1][2][6][7]. The main technical innovation in the Tapestry system is the exploration of collaborative filtering [3]. This permits user participation in the filtering process. Users are willing to supply evaluations of the articles they read if this can be done with little effort, such as one or two mouse clicks, and there is a perceived benefit to the user community. Initial experience with the Tapestry clipping service indicates that filtering information based on user-supplied evaluations yields dramatic improvements over text-based filtering alone.

Appraising automatically prioritizes and categorizes messages. Appraisers, similar to the rulebased agents popularized in the Information Lens [6], analyze each message received by a user. While filters help a user identify *what* to read, appraisers help the user decide *when* to read the selected messages. Or, to put it another way, filters select messages that are potentially of interest to a user, while appraisers further quantify how interesting each message is likely to be. Appraisers can do a more in-depth analysis of each message since they examine a much smaller set of messages than do filters. Like filters, a user's set of appraisers is strongly a function of his or her personal values. For example, a user might specify that "Messages sent directly to me are of top priority" or "Messages on distributed systems are more interesting than talk announcements."

Highlighting indicates portions of a message that are interesting. Highlighting one or more header fields provides users with a visual answer to questions like "Why did I get this message?" or "What is interesting about this message?" For instance, the "from" field of a message might be highlighted to indicate that the message is from the boss. Highlighting words or phrase within the body of a message allows the user to quickly see the passages of interest. It provides a visual answer to questions like "Where does it discuss distributed systems?" This is particularly valuable for long messages that are often a collection of shorter discussions on various topics.

These three functions are essential to information-based systems, such as the Information Tapestry. The user interfaces discussed in this paper are one, but certainly not the only, way of packaging this functionality and presenting it to users. Experience gained through continued use of the Tapestry system will likely suggest additional tools to aid in the filtering and management of large information streams, as well as more effective user interfaces to these tools.

5.0 Acknowledgments

David Nichols implemented the Tapestry browser and a significant portion of the clipping service. David Goldberg, David Nichols, and Brian Oki actively participated in the overall design of the Tapestry system, which also benefited from the ideas and constructive criticism of a great number of my colleagues at PARC. Jim Donahue, Jack Kent, and Willie-Sue Orr were principal designers and implementors of the Walnut mail reader on which WallTapestry was based. Finally, I thank the current Tapestry users who are providing invaluable feedback on the system.

6.0 References

- 1] ACM. Special issue on information filtering. *Communications of the ACM* 35(12), December 1992.
- 2] D. K. Gifford, R. W. Baldwin, S. T. Berlin, and J. M. Lucassen. An architecture for large scale information systems. *Proceedings Tenth Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, Orcas Island, Washington, December 1985, pages 161-170.
- 3] D. Goldberg, D. Nichols, B. Oki, and D. Terry. Using collaborative filtering to weave an Information Tapestry. *Communications of the ACM* 35(12):61-70, December 1992.
- 4] J. Kent, D. Terry, and W.-S. Orr. Browsing electronic mail: Experiences interfacing a mail system to a DBMS. *Proceedings 14th International Conference on Very Large Databases* (VLDB), Los Angeles, California, August 1988, pages 112-123.
- 5] W. E. Mackay, T. W. Malone, K. Crowston, R. Rao, D. Rosenblitt, and S. K. Card. How do experienced Information Lens users use rules? *Proceedings ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (CHI'89), Austin, Texas, April 1989, pages 211-216.
- 6] T. W. Malone, K. R. Grant, F. A. Turbak, S. A. Brobst, and M. D. Cohen. Intelligent information sharing systems. *Communications of the ACM* 30(5):390-402, May 1987.
- 7] S. Pollock. A rule-based message filtering system. *ACM Transactions on Office Information Systems* 6(3):232-254, July 1988.
- 8] D. B. Terry. 7 steps to a better mail system. *Message Handling Systems and Application Layer Communication Protocols*, P. Schicker and E. Stefferud (editors), North Holland, 1991, pages 23-33.
- 9] D. B. Terry, D. Goldberg, D. Nichols and B. Oki. Continuous queries over append-only databases. *Proceedings ACM-SIGMOD Symposium on the Management of Data*, San Diego, June 1992, pages 321-330.

.

